PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 2 4 MAR 2006

·		WIPO PCT			
Applicant's or agent's file reference PN0384-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
1	International filing date (day/month/year 23.11.2004	r) Priority date (day/month/year) 24.11.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. A61K51/04					
Applicant AMERSHAM HEALTH AS					
Authority under Article 35 and trans	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	7 sheets, including this cover sheet	et.			
3. This report is also accompanied by					
a. sent to the applicant and to					
and/or sheets containin Administrative Instruction	g rectifications authorized by this Alons).	we been amended and are the basis of this report uthority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the			
sheets which supersede beyond the disclosure is Supplemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but which this Auth n the international application as file	nority considers contain an amendment that goes ed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
sequence listing and/or table	rreau only) a total of (indicate type a es related thereto, in electronic form g (see Section 802 of the Administi	and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a n only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box rative Instructions).			
4. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:				
☑ Box No. I Basis of the repo	ort				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☑ Box No. III Non-establishme	ent of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of i					
applicability; cita	nent under Article 35(2) with regard tions and explanations supporting s	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement			
Box No. VI Certain documen					
	n the international application	_			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observat	tions on the international application	n			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of com	pletion of this report			
03.06.2005	24.03.200	06			
Name and mailing address of the internation preliminary examining authority:		officer			
European Patent Office - P.B. NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays B Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31	as Dullaart, / 651 epo ni Dullaart, /				
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Telephone (No. +31 70 340-3290			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NO2004/000358

	Box	No. I	Basis of the report
1.	Witl	n regar	d to the language, this report is based on
	\boxtimes	the int	ternational application in the language in which it was filed
		of a tra	slation of the international application into, which is the language anslation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a)) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2.	hav	re beer	rd to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> in furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
	Des	criptio	n, Pages
	1-2	6	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, Nu	umbers
	1-1	1	as originally filed
	Dra	wings,	Sheets
	1/1		as originally filed
		a seq	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.		☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4		d not b ppleme th th th th th an	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below seen made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). The description, pages see claims, Nos. The drawings, sheets/figs The sequence listing (specify): The sequence listing (specify):
	*	II i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NO2004/000358

		No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial licability			
1.	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,			
	⊠	claims Nos. 10 in part			
	bec	pecause:			
	×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 10 in part relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
see separate sheet		see separate sheet			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> .			
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.			
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:			
		☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
		☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
		□ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b) and 13 <i>ter</i> .2.			
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	\boxtimes	See separate sheet for further details			

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-7,9-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9,11

Claims No:

10

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10) and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

Claim 10 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V.

- Reference is made to the following documents: 1
- D1: WO 98/18496 A (NYCOMED IMAGING AS; COCKBAIN, JULIAN; KLAVENESS, JO: NAEVESTAD, ANNE;) 7 May 1998 (1998-05-07)
- D2: PONCHANT M ET AL: "Radiosynthesis of [tetrazoyl-11C]irbesartan, a nonpeptidic angiotensin II antagonist" European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, Editions Scientifique Elsevier, Paris, FR, vol. 32, no. 9, September 1997 (1997-09), pages 747-752, XP004094071 ISSN: 0223-5234
- D3: BURNS H D ET AL: "Development of [11C]L-159,884: A Radiolabelled, Nonpeptide Angiotensin II Antagonist that is Useful for Angiotensin II, AT1 Receptor Imaging" Applied Radiation and Isotopes, Pergamon Press Ltd., Exeter, GB, vol. 47, no. 2, February 1996 (1996-02), pages 211-218, XP004050601 ISSN: 0969-8043
- D4: WO 03/006070 A (AMERSHAM PLC; ARCHER, COLIN, MILL; WADSWORTH, HARRY, JOHN; ENGELL, TOR) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23)
- D5: WO 03/051859 A (AMERSHAM PLC; BOUVET, DENIS, RAYMOND, CHRISTOPHE; WADSWORTH, HARRY, JO) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26)
- D6: WO 03/006491 A (AMERSHAM HEALTH AS; CUTHBERTSON, ALAN; INDREVOLL, BAARD; SOLBAKKEN, MA) 23 January 2003 (2003-01-23)

Inventive Step 2

Document D1 discloses (see passages cited in the international search report) radiolabelled Losartan.

Document D2 discloses (see passages cited in the international search report) the

radiosynthesis of [tetrazoyl-¹¹C]irbesartan, a non-peptidic angiotensin II antagonist. Though the compound is labelled in the structure of irbesartan itself, it clearly is an agent having a moiety detectable in in vivo myocardial imaging, as is indicated in the passage on pages 749-750.

Document **D3** discloses (see passages cited in the international search report) the radiosynthesis of [11C]L-159884, a non-peptidic angiotensin II antagonist. Though the compound is labelled in the structure of L-159884 itself, it clearly is an agent having a moiety detectable in *in vivo* imaging.

Neither of these compounds has "a linear or branched amino acid-comprising biomodifier or linker group". Therefore, this characteristic can be considered as distinguishing the present application from each of these prior art document.

In the present application, however, no comparison is made with prior art labelled Losartan compounds. In fact, since only the synthesis of certain compounds is given, their usefulness in (myocardial) imaging has yet to be established. The problem to be solved by the presently claimed compounds is therefore to provide alternative compounds for *in vivo* imaging of the angiotensin II receptors.

Documents **D4** to **D6** disclose (see passages cited in the international search report) the preferred chelator of the present application, linked to a different targeting group. This preferred chelator is also used for complexing ^{99m}Tc.

Starting from the usefulness of labelled Losartan in imaging the angiotensin II receptors, the skilled person would certainly use the label disclosed in any of **D4** to **D6** to label Losartan, thus arriving at the presently claimed invention without applying inventive skills. Therefore, the present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33.3 PCT for inventive step.

At this point, an exception can be made for the derivatives according to claim 8. In a letter of reply, the applicant has provided data showing an improved affinity of three Losartan derivatives for the AT1 receptor over the K_i of Losartan and of angiotensin II. According to the applicant, this increased affinity is based on the linker used. As the data concern Losartan conjugates only, it is not possible to extend this inventive step to conjugates of any other "organic groups having binding affinity for an angiotensin II receptor site".

Therefore, an inventive step cannot be acknowledged for present claims 1-7 and 9-11, but only for present claim 8.